

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,962	10/13/2005	Cedric Perben	09669-063001 2253	
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 07/12/2007	•	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET			VO, TUYEN KIM	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
				DEL MEDY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1		•				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/530,962	PERBEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuyen Kim Vo	2876				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ,					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 8 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3-5 and 7 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 4/11/2005.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/530,962 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

"receiving elements (HE1, HE2)" in line 28 of page 4 is suggested to change to -- receiving elements (CARE1, CARE2) - -.

"C4 et C8" in line 2 of page 4 is suggested to change to - - C4 and C8 - -.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Drawings

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation of "card receiving" in line 1 is suggested to change to - - holding - - so that it can be consistent with the limitation that recited in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the first card-receiving element and the second card-receiving element" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al. (Ito) (US 6,210,193 B1.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 1, Ito, as shown in figures 1 and 7, teaches a card reader connector comprising:

a connector (contact portion 8 and contact piece 6, figure 1) having a plurality of contact pads (figure 1, column 5, lines 33-42); and

a first holding element (bending piece 23, figure 1. See column 3, lines 36-40) and a second holding element (bending piece 23, figure 1. See column 3, lines 36-40) arranged to hold the card that at least one contact pad of the plurality of contact pads can be connected to at least one contact area of the plurality of contact areas, wherein at least one of the first holding element and the second holding element is movable (see column 3, lines 40-47). In general, see column 3, line 32 to column 5, line 60.

Re claim 2, Ito further teaches the first holding element and the second holding element are respectively provided with a first card-receiving element (main body 19, figure 1) and a second card-receiving element (upper surface 2a, figure 1).

Re claim 8, Ito, as shown in figures 1 and 7, teaches a card reader connector comprising:

a housing (a card reader connector 1, figure 1) having a pivot portion (pivot pins 3, figure 1);

a connector (contact portion 8 and contact piece 6, figure 1) having a contact pad (figure 1, column 5, lines 33-42) disposed within the housing; and

at least one holding element (bending pieces 23, figure 1) configured to be supported pivotally by the pivot portion, wherein the at least one holding element is configured to hold the card such that the contact pad can be connected to a contact

area of the card (see figure 7, see column 4, lines 36-40). See column 3, line 41 to column 5, line 41.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (Ito) (US 6,210,193) in view of Teng et al. (Teng) (US 2003/0178486 A1).

Re claim 6, Ito teaches all subject matter claimed (see section 7) except for an USB connector for USB type communications. The reader of Ito is used for SIM card type communications. However, USB type communications is well known in the art of

data storage or reader communications. For example, see the abstract; paragraph [0004] and [0007].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reader of Ito to include an USB connector as taught by Teng so that USB type communications can be achieved and thus enhance the communications capability of the reader since USB type communications is widely used in modern technology.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 3-5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Re claim 3, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the arrangement of card reader wherein and especially, a first card-receiving element and a second card-receiving element are arranged to receive any of cards corresponding to various formats as recited in claim 3 and further limitation of its dependent claim 4.

Re claim 5, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the arrangement of card reader and especially, the first card-receiving element and the second holding element are arranged to pivot as recited in claim 5.

Re claim 7, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or suggest the arrangement of card reader and especially, the USB connector is arranged to pivot as recited in claim 7.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gastineau (US 6,149,450), Vandenengel (US 6,070,797), Sion et al. (US 6,715,678), Bricaud et al. (US 6,869,302 B2), Suzuki (US 6,468,101 B2), Lee (US 6,334,786) and DeFrasne et al. (US 5,603,629) all disclose card reader and connector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Kim Vo whose telephone number is 571-270-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30a.m. - 5:00p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,962 Page 8

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuyen Kim Vo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 July 5, 2007.

> MICHAEL G. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800